

REMARKS

Claims 1-16, and 19-22 are pending. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested in view of the remarks.

Claims 1-10 and 14-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeCosta et al. (USPN 6,826,553) in view of Gardner et al. (US Pub. Patent 2003/0188112). The Examiner stated essentially that the combined teachings of DeCosta and Gardner teach or suggest all the limitations of claims 1-10 and 14-22.

Claims 1, 19, and 20 are the independent claims.

Claims 1 and 19 claim, *inter alia*, "identifying a first set of attribute occurrences in the template generated semi-structured document using an ontology; determining a boundary of each multi-attribute data record in the template generated semi-structured document; learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document; and applying the pattern within the boundary of each multi-attribute data record in the template generated semi-structured document to extract a second set of attribute occurrences." Claim 20 claims, *inter alia*, "an ontology for identifying a first set of

attribute occurrences in the template generated semi-structured document, the ontology comprising a set of concepts and a set of attributes associated with every concept; a boundary module for determining a boundary of each multi-attribute data record in the template generated semi-structured document; and a pattern module for learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document."

DeCosta teaches a system for automatically extracting data from at least one electronic document (see paragraph Abstract). DeCosta does not teach or suggest "identifying a first set of attribute occurrences in the template generated semi-structured document using an ontology" and "learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claims 1 and 19, nor "an ontology for identifying a first set of attribute occurrences" "and a pattern module for learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claim 20. DeCosta's method navigates to a web page according to a recording of previous user navigation (via a navigation recording module 12) (see col. 5, lines 37-51), and implements

pattern matching to retrieve relevant information from a navigated to web site based on user selections (via an extraction recording module 22) (see col. 5, lines 55-67).

DeCosta's pattern matching operates as the sole means of extracting information. Further, the pattern matching is based on a recording of user activity using hyperlinks and form fields acted upon by the user (see col. 7, lines 47-50). Thus, the pattern matching of DeCosta is easily broken by changes in a web page, requiring a user to re-teach a pattern (see col. 6, lines 1-13). Nowhere does DeCosta teach or suggest learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of a first set identified using an ontology, essentially as claimed in Claims 1, 19, and 20. The pattern matching of DeCosta is preformed based on user activity, requiring user intervention to teach patterns. DeCosta's does not teach or suggest learning patterns given attribute occurrences learned using an ontology, essentially as claimed in Claims 1, 19, and 20. Therefore, DeCosta fails to teach or suggest all the limitations of Claims 1, 19, and 20.

Gardner teaches that an ontology may be used to enable effective syntactic and semantic mapping between any number of different entities (see paragraph [0051]). Gardner does not teach or suggest "identifying a first set of attribute

occurrences in the template generated semi-structured document using an ontology" and "learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claims 1 and 19, nor "an ontology for identifying a first set of attribute occurrences" "and a pattern module for learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claim 20. Gardner teaches only an ontology system. Gardner does not teach or suggest pattern matching to extract attribute occurrences based on attribute occurrences identified using an ontology, much less learning patterns given attribute occurrences learned using an ontology, essentially as claimed in Claims 1, 19, and 20. Therefore, Gardner fails to cure the deficiencies of DeCosta.

The combined teachings of DeCosta and Gardner fail to teach or suggest learning a pattern based on attribute occurrences identified using an ontology. DeCosta teaches learning only recording user activity. Gardner teaches only that an ontology system accesses information from data sources (for example, see paragraph [0062]). Neither DeCosta nor Gardner teach or suggest

learning a pattern from information identified using an ontology.

Further still, combined teachings of DeCosta and Gardner fail to teach or suggest applying two extraction techniques to a template generated semi-structured document for extracting a first and second set of attribute occurrences - at most DeCosta and Gardner teach applying only one method for extracting information.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the combined teachings of DeCosta and Gardner fail to teach or suggest "identifying a first set of attribute occurrences in the template generated semi-structured document using an ontology" and "learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claims 1 and 19, nor "an ontology for identifying a first set of attribute occurrences" "and a pattern module for learning a pattern for an attribute corresponding to an identified attribute occurrence of the first set in the template generated semi-structured document" as claimed in Claim 20.

Claims 2-9 depend from Claim 1. Claims 21 and 22 depend from Claim 20. The dependent claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons given for Claims 1, 19, and 20. Claims

17 and 18 were previously cancelled. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 11 and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeCosta in view of Gardner, and further in view of Oommen et al. (US Patent Pub. 2003/0195890). The Examiner stated essentially that the combined teachings of DeCosta, Gardner, and Oommen teach or suggest all the limitations of Claims 11 and 13.

Claims 11 and 13 depend from Claim 1. The dependent claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons given for Claim 1. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 12 has been rejected to under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeCosta in view of Gardner, and further in view of Oommen, and further in view of Bruno (Efficient Creation of Statistics over Query Expressions, ICDE 2003, Bangalore, India, March 5-8, 2003). The Examiner stated essentially that the combined teachings of DeCosta, Gardner, Oommen, and Bruno teach or suggest all the limitations of Claim 12.


Claim 12 depends from Claim 1. The dependent claim is believed to be allowable for at least the reasons given for

Claim 1. The Examiner's reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

For the forgoing reasons, the application, including Claims 1-16 and 19-22, is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable reconsideration of the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:


Nathaniel T. Wallace
Reg. No. 48,909
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Mailing Address:
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, New York 11797
TEL: (516) 692-8888
FAX: (516) 692-8889